

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/670,744 09/26/2003 | | Satoru Kobayashi | 038788.52799US | 2746 |
| 23911 | 7590 09/22/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP | | | KEYS, ROSALYND ANN | |
| INTELLECTU | JAL PROPERTY GRO | UP | | |
| . P.O. BOX 14300 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 | | 1621 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/670,744 | KOBAYASHI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Rosalynd Keys | 1621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,744

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Claims 1-4 are rejected.

Claims 5-11 are cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claim 4 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Sumida et al. (US 2004/0106755 A1). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirsch et al. (DE 19945890) or Itoh et al. (Tetrahedron Letters, April 2000, Vol. 41, No. 23, pages 4591-4595.

Kirsch et al. teach the claimed compound on page 34 as compound number 91 (see also attached CAPLUS printout and English abstract).

Itoh et al. teach the claimed compound (see compound 1 on pages 4591 and 4592 as well as the attached CAPLUS printout).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michel et al. (Tetrahedron Letters, April 2000, Vol. 56, No. 25, pages 4253-4260).

Application/Control Number: 10/670,744 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Michel et al. teach the claimed compound on page 4254 (see compound 3c-F of Scheme 4).

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nakazato et al. (WO 2003061698, which is equivalent to US 2005/0119345 A1).

Nakazato et al. teach the claimed compound (see attached CAPLUS printout, the attached English abstract, and example 4 of US 2005/0119345 A1)

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sumida et al. (US 2004/0106755 A1).

Sumida et al. teach the claimed compound (See Example 12).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The rejection of claim 4 because of the use of the symbol C_0 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heckmeier et al. (US 6,217,953) is withdrawn, since the claims now require that said organic group be free from aromatic rings.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,744

Art Unit: 1621

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. Applicant's arguments, see page 5 of remarks, filed June 9, 2005, with respect the use of the

transitional phrase "comprising" have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims

1-4 has been withdrawn.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner can normally be

reached on M and F 3:00-8:00 pm and T-TR 5:30-10:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosalynd Keys Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1621

July 19, 2005